Nutrient-coated urea mitigates deleterious impacts of salinity and supports wheat performance

by enhancing antioxidant activities, photosynthetic performance and nitrogen use efficiency

Muhammad Umer Chattha¹, Fiza Fatima², Imran Khan¹, Li Daji³, Muhammad Bilal Chattha⁴, Adnan

Rasheed⁵, Rehab O. Elnour⁶, Tahani A.Y. Asseri⁷, Mohamed Hashem⁸, Haifa A.S. Alhaithloul⁹,

Muhammad Umair Hassan¹⁰ and Sameer H. Oari¹¹

¹Department of Agronomy, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan; ²Department of Botany,

University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan; ³School of Life Science, Baicheng Normal

University, Jilin, Baicheng, China; ⁴Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture Sciences,

University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan; ⁵College of Agronomy, Hunan Agricultural University,

Changsha, China; ⁶Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences and Arts, King Khalid University,

Dahran Al-Janoub, Saudi Arabia; ⁷Department of Biology, College of Science, King Khalid

University, Abha, Saudi Arabia; 8Department of Botany and Microbiology, Faculty of Science, Assiut

University, Assiut, Egypt; ⁹Biology Department, Collage of Science, Jouf University, Sakaka, Saudi

Arabia; ¹⁰Research Center on Ecological Sciences, Jiangxi Agricultural University, Nanchang, China;

¹¹Department of Biology, Al-Jumum University College, Umm Al-Qura University, Makkah, Saudi

Arabia

Correspondence: Li Daji, School of Life Science, Baicheng Normal University, Jilin, Baicheng,

137000, China.

E-mail: lidaji@bcnu.edu.cn

Key words: chlorophyll; coated urea; growth; NUE; nutrient homeostasis; yield.

Acknowledgments: the authors are thankful to Muhammad Aamer for his suggestions that improved

the quality of our work. The authors also extend their appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific

Research, King Khalid University, for supporting this work through a research groups program under

grant number R.G.P. R.G.P. 2/75/44.

Conflict of interest: the authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

Table S1. ANOVA sources, F-values and significance in plant growth, photosynthetic pigments, and leaf water status.

Sourc	D	SFW	SDW	RFW	DRW	LPP	Chl. a	Chl. b	Car.	RWC
e	F									
SS	2	326.11	213.82	221.13	198.12	332.34	239.26	784.01* *	834.35* *	839.11*
UT	2	938.35	986.58	802.34	606.4*	983.24	191.53	1529.7 6**	3674.2 3**	3026.8 6**
SS×U T	4	2.82 ^{NS}	2.46 NS	4.33*	3.25 ^{NS}	10.65*	5.64*	46.93**	14.15**	27.72**

SS: salinity stress, UT: urea types, SFW and SDW are shoot fresh and dry weights and RFW and RDW are root fresh and dry weights. LPP: leaves per plant, Chl. chlorophyll, Car: carotenoids, RWC: relative water contents. * and ** indicates significant at $P \le 0.05$ and $P \le 0.01$, respectively.

Table S2. ANOVA sources, F-values, and significance in plant oxidative stress markers, osmolytes and antioxidant activities.

Sourc	D	EL (%)	MDA	H ₂ O ₂	TSP	FAA	CAT	POD	APX	Anth.
e	F									
SS	2	900.66*	441.1	819.82*	478.29*	831.91*	1052.3	193.3	505.7	862.37*
		*	2**	*	*	*	7**	4**	2**	*
UT	2	1791.0	761.3	1256.7	1499.4	2046.0	1757.3	702.6	830.1	3627.8
		6**	5**	1**	6**	2**	9**	8**	5**	1**
SS×	4	16.64**	14.32*	13.98**	15.95**	55.68**	5.20*	1.47	3.51*	6.03**
UT			*					NS		

SS: salinity stress, UT: urea types, EL: electrolyte leakage, MDA: malondialdehyde, H_2O_2 : hydrogen peroxide, TSP: total soluble proteins: FAA: free amino acids. *and ** indicates significant at $P \le 0.05$ and $P \le 0.01$, respectively.

Table S3. ANOVA sources, F-values, and significance in yield traits and nitrogen use efficiency.

Source	DF	SL	TPP	SLPS	GPS	100-GW	GYPP	BYPP
SS	2	1119.21**	322.63**	808.71**	480.63**	374.28**	323.66**	145.68**
UT	2	1954.60**	1151.46**	2990.89**	3512.71**	1945.53**	1012.65**	465.56**
SS×UT	4	46.08**	33.15*	22.30**	5.32*	5.43*	5.50**	2.60 NS

SS: salinity stress, UT: urea types, SL: spike length: TPP: tillers per plant, SLPS: spikelets/spike, GPS: grains/spike, 100 GW: grain weight, GYPP: grain yield per plant and BYPP: biological yield per plant. * and ** indicates significant at $P \le 0.05$ and $P \le 0.01$, respectively.

Table S4. ANOVA sources, F-values, and significance in element (Na, K, Cl and N) concentrations in plant roots and shoots.

Source	D	Root	Shoot	Root K	Shoot K	Root Cl	Shoot	Root N	Shoot N
	F	Na	Na				C1		
SS	2	105.12*	119.12* *	612.51**	711.51**	114.53*	158.42*	713.27**	802.92**
UT	2	256.33* *	202.29*	2201.90*	1813.90* *	192.44* *	172.33*	1671.89* *	1992.89*
SS×U T	4	2.34 NS	3.43*	19.28**	16.56**	4.51*	3.98*	24.30**	18.76**

SS: salinity stress, UT: urea types, Na: sodium, K: potassium, Cl: chloride, and N: nitrogen. * and ** indicates significant at $P \le 0.05$ and $P \le 0.01$, respectively.